

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/334,978 06/17/1999 JOHN C. WEBBER 1365-021C 5936 8698 **EXAMINER** 7590 09/08/2005 STANDLEY LAW GROUP LLP PASS, NATALIE **495 METRO PLACE SOUTH ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER SUITE 210 DUBLIN, OH 43017 3626

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/334,978	WEBBER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Natalie A. Pass	3626		

-	Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Natalie A. Pass	3626			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
1. 🛚	HE REPLY FILED 16 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  ∑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
a)	a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
ь) [	b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL						
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  MENDMENTS					
		but prior to the date of filing a brie	f will not be entered	hecause		
	. A The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for					
	appeal; and/or (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	icated alaims			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		jected ciaims.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
	i. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
,	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \( \text{\tin}\text{\texi}\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\tex					
1	Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9,11 and 13-26</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .					
	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
1	3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
:	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
	2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
13. Other:						
		$\iota_{JUb}$	rn'inuwas			

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 3. will not be entered because:

NOTE: The newly proposed language of "said network host computer determines that" ... has transmitted updates and "for display at" said customer computer in claim 1, and "that said database contains updates to said product information related to said search parameter in said search request and received from" said ... merchant computer in claim 18 and "in one presentation distinguishing said product information from said first merchand computer from said product infromation from said second merchant computer" in claims 11 and 21, represents a shift in scope of the claims previously presented, and would require further search and consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant argues features that have not been entered as of the present communciation. As per other arguments, Applicant apparently rehashes arguments previously addressed in the Final Office Action (paper number 05032005). In particular, each and every limitation of independent claims 1, 11, 18 and 21 were properly addressed in pages 2-15 of the detailed Final Office Action, and are incorporated herein. In addition, the motivation to combine the applied references, was clearly accompanied by select portions of the respective references which specifically support that particular motivation [see paper number 05032005, page 8, paragraph 1].